

Current technical gaps and challenges for nuclear graphite in molten salt reactors

Nidia C Gallego, gallegonc@ornl.gov ORNL Molten Salt Workshop November 5-7, 2024



ORNL IS MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE LLC FOR THE US DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Manufactured Graphite and its Porous Structure





One carbon, many graphite grades

		Class	Density [g/cm³]	Country of origin	Irradiation data	Forming process	Availability
AGC-Campaign	H-451	Medium	1.71	SGL USA	Low dose	Extruded	
	NBG-17	Medium-fine	1.86	SGL (Germany/ France)	Low dose Vibro-molded		
	NBG-18	Medium	1.87	SGL (Germany/ France)	Low dose	Vibro-molded	
	PCEA	Medium-fine	1.79	GrafTech (USA)	Low dose Extruded		
	IG-110	Fine < 100	1.76	Toyo (Japan)	Low dose	Iso-molded	
	IG-430 (dropped)	Fine < 100	1.80	Toyo (Japan)	Low dose	Iso-molded	
	2114 (added)	Superfine < 50		Mersen (France-USA)	Low dose	Iso-molded	
MSRE	CGB	Medium	1.86	Union Carbide (USA)		Extruded	
OTHER fine grain graphites	POCO-ZXF-5Q	Microfine < 2	1.78	Poco (USA)	Low dose	Iso-pressing	
	POCO-AXF-50	Ultrafine < 10	1.78	Poco (USA)	Low dose	Iso-pressing	
	POCO-TM	Ultrafine < 10	1.82	Poco (USA)	Few data	Iso-pressing	
	G347A	Ultrafine < 10	1.85	Tokai (Japan)	High dose	Iso-pressing	
	IGS743NH	Superfine < 50	1.80	Nippon (Japan)	Low dose	Iso-molded	
	ETU-10	Superfine < 50	1.74	Ibiden (Japan)	Low dose	Iso-pressing	



The different reactor concepts share common challenges to graphite presence in the core.

Effect of fast neutron irradiation and its relationship with microstructure

- Dimensional changes, structural damage
- Change in mechanical and thermal properties

Degradation due to Environmental Effects

Gas-cooled reactors

Chronic oxidation

 Moisture in coolant will cause slow by continuous oxidation during normal operation – will always happen

Acute oxidation

 Air or water ingress (accident conditions) – should never happen

Physical

- Salt intrusion into graphite pores: how much, how deep, effect on mechanical/ thermal properties; effect of potential heating/cooling cycles; effect of/on irradiation damage; what if salt is fueled
- Wear and abrasion: pebble on graphite/pebble; pebble on metal surface; dust generation
- Erosion: flow of salt through graphite channels

Chemical

Fluoride salt-cooled reactors

- Chemical Interactions: Fluorination, intercalation, effect on properties of graphite
- Effect of salt impurities
- Effect of graphite dust in salt
- Absorption of fission products
- Galvanic corrosion



ASME SEC III Division 5 High Temperature Reactors

The current HHA does not address any coolant salt interactions with graphite.

Salt infiltration and retention as well as wear and erosion (chemical attack ?), aspects need to be incorporated in the design rules.





Salt intrusion

what we know, challenges & gaps



We know that...



NBG-18, 1023K, 3 bar, 336 hrs

		650°C	:	750°C			
	12 hrs	2 weeks		12-20 hrs		2 weeks	
		NBG-18	IG-110	NBG-18	IG-110	NBG-18	IG-110
7 bar							
5 bar							
3 bar							

- Salt intrusion into graphite pores may happen, but it is highly dependent on graphite grade, temperature, pressure (and maybe time)
- When it happens, there is usually a 'distribution' or 'gradient' of salt, that depends on graphite grade, sample size and geometry, and intrusion conditions

See poster presentation this afternoon

The BIG Challenge / Questions

If salt intrusion happens, so what ?????

• How can we determine if salt intrusion affects graphite properties?



Challenges, technical gaps

- If we follow current ASTM standards, preparing 'homogenous' or 'representative" samples for various testing is a challenge
- What variable is actually evaluated? Pressure/time, coverage, % pores infiltrated?
- Infiltration happens at high temperature (where salt is molten), but sample is brought back to room temperature
- Do we test at room temperature (salt is solid)? High temperature (salt is molten)?
- Do we remove or keep salt?
- Testing capabilities requires inert environment and possible high temperature





The NEXT BIG Challenge

 Would intrusion behavior change with time, after irradiation damage? Would salt chemistry change over time and affect intrusion??



How about Abrasion and Erosion



How about Abrasion and Erosion

- ASME code said this was just applicable to GCR
- Erosion only an issue a gas flow velocities > 100 m/s



HHA-3140 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Assessment of Graphite Core Components comprising the Graphite Core Assembly shall include consideration of the effects of thermal oxidation, irradiation, abrasion

and erosion, fatigue, and buckling. The rules for oxidation in HHA-3141 and abrasion and erosion in HHA-3143 are specific to high temperature gas-cooled reactors.

HHA-3143 Abrasion and Erosion

(a) Abrasion shall be evaluated if there is relative motion between Graphite Core Components, Graphite Core Components and interfacing components, or Graphite Core Components and the fuel of a pebble bed reactor.

(b) Erosion shall be evaluated in areas where the mean gas flow velocity in the cross section of the channel exceeds 330 ft/sec (100 m/s).



Erosion

Record 23-2484 submitted and approved Proposal to edit sections HHA-3140 and HHA-3143 to address applicability of the sections to MSRs

ORNL/TM-2023/3007

Initial Assessment of Erosion/Abrasion Issues Related to Gas-Cooled Reactors



Lianshan Lin Cristian I. Contesc Nidia C. Gallego August 2023



Record 23-2484

Proposal to edit sections HHA-3140 and HHA-3143 to address applicability of the sections to MSRs.

CURRENT TEXT

HHA-3140 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (23)

Assessment of Graphite Core Components comprising the Graphite Core Assembly shall include consideration of the effects of thermal oxidation, irradiation, abrasion and erosion, fatigue, and buckling. The rules for oxidation in HHA-3141 and abrasion and erosion in HHA-3143 are specific to high temperature gas-cooled reactors.

HHA-3143 Abrasion and Erosion

(a) Abrasion shall be evaluated if there is relative motion between Graphite Core Components, Graphite Core Components and interfacing components, or Graphite Core Components and the fuel of a pebble bed reactor.
(b) Erosion shall be evaluated in areas where the mean gas flow velocity in the cross section of the channel exceeds 330 ft/sec (100 m/s).

PROPOSED TEXT

HHA-3140 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Assessment of Graphite Core Components comprising the Graphite Core Assembly shall include consideration of the effects of thermal oxidation, irradiation, abrasion and erosion, fatigue, and buckling. The rules for oxidation in HHA-3141 and abrasion and erosion in HHA-3143 are specific to high temperature gas cooled reactors.

HHA-3143 Abrasion and Erosion

(a) Abrasion shall be evaluated if there is relative motion between Graphite Core Components, Graphite Core Components and interfacing components, or Graphite Core Components and the fuel of a pebble bed reactor.

(b) Erosion shall be evaluated in areas where the mean gas flow velocity in the cross section of the channel exceeds 330 ft/sec (100 m/s). The designer shall determine the value of the mean fluid flow velocity, above which, an evaluation of erosion is necessary and justify the adequacy of the value in the Design Report. The effect of any debris in the fluid shall be considered.



Abrasion and wear

• Concerns:

- Degradation
- Dust generation
- Damage to pebbles
- A few reports in the literature, but information is still limited
- Additional research is needed





Wear 522 (2023) 204706

Tribocorrosion of stainless steel sliding against graphite in FLiNaK molten salt $\!\!\!\!^\star$

Xin He^a, Chanaka Kumara^a, Dino Sulejmanovic^a, James R. Keiser^a, Nidia Gallego^b, Jun Qu^{a,} ^a Matricia Science and Technology Drivine, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TM, 27871, USA ^b Chindria Science Toblican, Oak Ride Manal Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TM, 27871, USA

ORNL/TM-2024/3253

Report on Initial Tribological Studies of Graphite in Dry Argon and Molten Salt Environment



Tomas Grejtak Jun Qu Nidia C. Gallego James R. Keiser January 2024

Wear and Friction of Nuclear Graphite in FLiBe

L. Vergari (Univ. California, Berkeley), J. Xu (Univ. California, Berkeley), R. O. Scarlat (Univ. California, Berkeley)

Transactions Volume 129 Number 1 November 2023 Pages 490-492



ASTM – where do we stand?

- Large collection of ASTM documents was built over time for characterization of HTGR graphites
- Development of Standard Guides for MSR/FHR graphite has started
 - ASTM D8091 pressurized salt intrusion and quantification
 - ASTM D8377 mechanical properties testing at high temperature





Standards: D02.F0 on Manufactured Carbon and Graphite Products



ASTM D8091-16 and revised in 2021

- . parameter dands are needed its . parameter dands are needed its . of <u>total pore</u> volume in true does not specify so **red on derstand on graphice** . ue does not specify so **red on derstand on graphice** size . Guide does not sp. Importer und effect on graphice size . Guide does not sp. Importer und effect on graphice size . Guide does not sp. Importer und effect on graphice size . Guide does not sp. Importer und effect on graphice . Jore volume in the stand on graphice . Jore volu that the user quantifies the extent of impregnation over a bounding range of volumes and geometries to ensure a consistent set of test results



Guide for on of Graphite with Molten Salt¹

Designation: D8091 - 21

NOTE 3-If the user is using this guide to impregnate specimens for comparative purposes, it is recommended that a single specimen volume and geometry should be employed. If different specimen volumes and geometries are necessary to accommodate tests that follow, it is advisable





ASTM - Challenges

- Development of Standard Procedures requires Round Robin tests"
 - Community may be limited and may not have enough interested participants to afford development of Standard Test Methods
 - Specialized equipment must be custom designed, built, operated at difficult conditions
 - Interlaboratory study (ILS) must have at least 5 participants



Visit our poster presentation this afternoon to learn more about our research activities.



CAK RIDGE

Graphite-Molten Salt Compatibility Studies at ORNL

his research used resources at the High Flux Isotop Reactor, a US DOE Office of Science User Facility operated by the ORNL

Nidia Gallego, Jisue Braatz, Tomas Grejak, and Wenqi Li

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

ENERGY

Financial Support from US DOE-NE Advanced Reactor Technology Program

Introduction Understanding the intrusion behavior of

molten fluoride salt (FLINaK) within graphite is essential for assessing material compatibility and ensuring optimal performance in advanced reactor systems. Our research examines comprehensive experimental analyses aimed at elucidating the interaction dynamics between FLiNaK and graphite specimens of diverse grades, encompassing both medium-and fine-grain compositions. Through meticulous investigation of intrusion behavior via infiltration tests conducted across a range of parameters, including time, temperature, and pressure, this study aims to provide valuable insights into the mechanisms governing FLINaK infiltration within graphite By illuminating the intricate behaviors of FLiNaK within graphite, this research contributes to advancing the understanding of material dynamics and informs strategic decisions in reactor design and operation.



e CG-1D (ORNL's HFIR); samp chematic; and 3D reconstruc

Experimental Setup: Salt Intrusion and Neutron Imaging

etup; intrusio



Comparison for grades NBG-18 and IG-110. (a) Middle plane extracted from the 3D reconstructed volumes of samples exposed to FUNAK: (b) Snapshots of differential (after-minus before-salt exposure) 3D models.

Results

Results

Results

Investigating Wetting Behavior of Molten FLiNaK on Graphite

Understanding the wetting behavior of molten salts on graphite is crucial for optimizing materials used in molten salt reactors (MSRs). Our work focuses on investigating the contact angle of FLINaK, a eutectic mixture of lithium, sodium, and potassium fluorides, on nuclear grade graphite. The contact angle provides critical insights into the compatibility and interaction between the salt and graphite, influencing factors such as infiltration, corrosion resistance, and overall reactor performance. By examining how the contact angle varies with temperature and graphite grade, this research aims to enhance the understanding of material selection and surface treatment for improved durability and efficiency in MSRs.

HEAT

Experimental Setup – Tribological Studies

tal Setup: Contact Angle

Contact angle measuremen • Salt: 3mm diameter salt p • Graphite disc sample: 10r with 2mm thickness eter salt pellet (~8 mg) FLINaK Melting point: 454 °C
 Temperature step-increased ed to 550 °C 650 °C and 750°C, hold for 3 h at each



ent results for (left) NBG-18 High-temperature contact angle meas a medium grain graphite with larger pore size, (middle) 2114, a superfine-grain graphite with smaller pore size, and (right) HOPG.

Graphite Wear Studies in Dry Argon and in Molten FLiNaK

Pebble-bed reactors such as High temperature gas-cooled reactor (HTGR) and Fluoride saltcooled high temperature reactor (FHR) contain thousands of densely packed fuel pebbles that pass slowly through the reactor core multiple times before they are finally discharged. Sliding and rolling of the pebbles between themselve and against the graphite container wall result in inevitable abrasive wear and surface damage. In addition, graphite wear, especially in the form of dust generation, poses substantial operational challenges. This work investigates the tribological properties such as coefficient of friction and wear rate of graphite pebbles in conditions relevant to HTGR and FHR. Understanding pebble tribological properties is essential for reactor core design, pebble drainage cycle, and safety assessment.

FLINaK (mol %: 46.5% LIF, 11.5% NaF, 42% KF) Melting point: 454 °C Viscosity: 3.66 mPa-s @ 650 °C



a glovebox with argon gas (h_2O and $O_2 < 1$ ppm). c) Dry sliding test setup. d) Molten FLINAK salt test setup (Salt was loaded into cuplike holder) at Tests was consistent of the setup.

nolder) e) Tests were conducted in a high-temperature furnace

temperature: 650°C sliding speed: 10 mm/ contact environme load environme - 20 N dry argor - 40 N dry argor - 40 N FLINAK dry aroon 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 Graphite has a 1.7 × lower coeff



Worn surface morphology: a) and b) testing in a dry Ar er resulted in larger worn regions and a greater formation o compared to c) test in a FLINAK salt environment.

THANK YOU!

Nidia C Gallego, <u>gallegonc@ornl.gov</u>

